## STATE OF VERMONT VERMONT SUPREME COURT \_\_\_\_\_ TERM, 2021

# Order Promulgating Amendments to Rules 7 and 56, and abrogating Rule 78 of the Vermont Rules of Civil Procedure

Pursuant to the Vermont Constitution, Chapter II, § 37, and 12 V.S.A. § 1, it is hereby ordered:

1. That Rule 7 of the Vermont Rules of Civil Procedure be amended to read as follows (new matter underlined; deleted matter struck through):

#### RULE 7. PLEADINGS ALLOWED; FORM OF MOTIONS

(a) **Pleadings.** There shall be a complaint and an answer; a disclosure under oath, if trustee process is used; a reply to a counterclaim denominated as such; an answer to a cross-claim, if the answer contains a cross-claim; a third-party complaint, if a person who was not an original party is summoned under the provisions of Rule 14; and a third-party answer, if a third-party complaint is served. No other pleading shall be allowed, except that the court may order a reply to an answer or a third-party answer.

### (b) Motions and Other Papers.

- (1) <u>Application</u>. An application to the court for an order shall be by motion which, unless made during a hearing or trial, shall be made in writing, shall state with particularity the grounds therefor including a concise statement of the facts and law relied on, and shall set forth the relief or order sought.
- (2) <u>Applicable Rules.</u> The rules applicable to captions and other matters of form of pleadings apply to all motions and other papers provided for by these rules.
  - (3) Motions to Be Signed. All motions shall be signed in accordance with Rule 11.
- (4) When a moving party wishes to request an opportunity to present evidence pursuant to Rule 78(b), that request shall be submitted with the motion to which it applies or within 7 days of service of the memorandum in opposition. Where this rule requires a motion to be in writing, the request for an opportunity to present evidence shall be in writing. The request for an opportunity to present evidence shall include a statement of the evidence which the party wishes to offer. *Memorandum in Opposition*. Any party opposed to the granting of a written dispositive motion, including a motion for summary judgment under Rule 56, shall file a memorandum in opposition thereto not more than 30 days after service of the motion, unless otherwise ordered by the court. A memorandum in opposition to any non-dispositive motion shall be filed not more than 14 days after service of the motion, unless otherwise ordered by the court. Any party may file a reply to a memorandum in opposition, including a memorandum in opposition to a motion for summary judgment under Rule 56(b), within 14 days after service of the memorandum.

- (5) Oral Argument. The court may hold oral argument on the motion in timely fashion after the filing of all memoranda permitted under paragraph (4), or may dispose of the motion without argument. If a memorandum in opposition is not timely filed when required under that paragraph, the court may hold oral argument at that time or may dispose of the motion without argument. If the motion is heard, the court may award terms and costs to the prevailing party if the court finds that the grounds for filing or opposing the motion were frivolous.
- (6) Evidentiary Hearings. Except for motions governed by Rule 56, the court shall provide an opportunity to present evidence if requested, unless the court finds that there is no genuine issue of material fact to which the requested evidence would apply. The request for an opportunity to present evidence shall include a statement of the evidence which the party wishes to offer. When a moving party wishes to request an opportunity to present evidence the request shall be submitted with the motion to which it applies or within 7 days of service of the memorandum in opposition. A request by an opposing party for an opportunity to present evidence shall be submitted with the memorandum in opposition or reply. When this rule requires a motion to be in writing, the request for an opportunity to present evidence shall be in writing.
- (c) Demurrers, Pleas, Etc., Abolished. Demurrers, pleas, and exceptions for insufficiency of a pleading shall not be used.

#### Reporter's Notes—2021 Amendment

V.R.C.P. 7(b) is amended to consolidate and modernize provisions of the Civil Rules governing motions. Pertinent provisions of V.R.C.P. 78, which is simultaneously abrogated, are incorporated in this amendment. For clarity, captions have been added to existing paragraphs 7(b)(1)-(3) and amended or new paragraphs 7(b)(4)-(6).

V.R.C.P. 7(b)(4) is now replaced by language based on Rule 7(a)(3) of the Rules of the United States District Court for the District of Vermont and significantly narrows the reach of former V.R.C.P. 78(b)(1). The present amendment provides a procedure for responding not only to a motion for summary judgment under V.R.C.P. 56, but to all dispositive motions, including motions to dismiss for untimely service or filing of the complaint under V.R.C.P. 3, for judgment on a claim or on the pleadings under V.R.C.P. 12(b) or (c), for judgment as a matter of law under V.R.C.P. 50(a), for default judgment under V.R.C.P. 55(a) (as amended effective January 6, 2020), or any other motion that may result in dismissal of all or part of a claim without trial.

The 30-day response period for all dispositive motions provides consistency with the existing response period for motions under V.R.C.P. 56(b) in response to a summary judgment motion. The response period for nondispositive motions, as well for motions under new V.R.C.P. 56(c)(5), responding to a Rule 56(b) response, is 14 days.

New paragraphs 7(b)(5) and (6) replace former V.R.C.P. 78(b)(1) and (2). Paragraph (5) gathers provisions concerning oral argument from both former paragraphs, placing them in a time frame related to the provisions of amended V.R.C.P. 7(b)(4). Similarly, paragraph (6) brings together provisions concerning the presentation of evidence from former V.R.C.P. 78(b)(1) and (2) that may arise outside the context of a summary judgment motion and makes clear their relationship to V.R.C.P. 56.

Former V.R.C.P. 7(c) is deleted. It stated, "Demurrers, pleas, and exceptions for insufficiency of a pleading shall not be used." The passage of 50 years since its promulgation has effectively eliminated from the professional toolbox of most current members of the bar even the ghosts of the terms that it sought to abolish.

2. That Rule 56(c)(5) of the Vermont Rules of Civil Procedure be added to read as follows:

#### **RULE 56. SUMMARY JUDGMENT**

- (c) **Procedures.**
- (5) *Reply*. The moving party may file a reply to a memorandum in opposition within 14 days after service of the memorandum.

#### Reporter's Notes—2021 Amendment

V.R.C.P. 56(c)(5) is added to make clear that a reply to a memorandum in opposition to a motion for summary judgment, as provided in the simultaneous amendment to V.R.C.P. 7(b)(4), is available. See Reporter's Notes to that amendment.

3. That Rule 78 of the Vermont Rules of Civil Procedure be abrogated.

#### **Reporter's Notes—2021 Amendment**

V.R.C.P. 78 is abrogated. Former Rule 78(a) required the Presiding Judge of each superior court to establish "motion days" at regular intervals. The rule is no longer consistent with practice in the superior court as currently constituted. Former paragraphs 78(b)(1) and (2) have been revised and reorganized in new V.R.C.P. 7(b)(5) and (6), added simultaneously. See Reporter's Notes to that rule.

- 4. That these amendments be prescribed and promulgated, effective on \_\_\_\_\_.

  The Reporter's Notes are advisory.
- 5. That the Chief Justice is authorized to report these amendments to the General Assembly in accordance with the provisions of 12 V.S.A. § 1, as amended.

Dated in Chambers at Montpelier, Vermont, this	day of, 2021.
	Paul L. Reiber, Chief Justice
	Beth Robinson, Associate Justice
	Harold E. Eaton, Jr., Associate Justice
	Karen R. Carroll, Associate Justice

William D. Cohen, Associate Justice